arXiv:cond-mat/9310005v1 3 Oct 1993

Decay of metastable multicomponent mixture

Victor Kurasov *

Abstract

The kinetics of the multicomponent condensation after the instantaneous creation of the metastable state is described analytically. Manydimensional problem is reduced to the one-dimensional case. All the main characteristics of the process are obtained analytically with the help of iteration procedure. As the result the analytical theory for the decay of the metastable phase in completely constructed for vapor with many components.

*Free University of Berlin, Physics faculty, TKM, e-mail: kourassov@vaxneu.dnet.fu-berlin.de; Saint-Petersburg University, Physics faculty, Department of computational physics, e-mail: kurasov@onti.phys.lgu.spb.su

1 Introduction

The present paper is devoted to the constuction of the kinetics of the condensation of multicomponent mixture after the instantaneous creation of supersaturation in the system.

The theory presented here is based on the classical theory of nucleation. The basic ideas of the classical theory of nucleation are created by Volmer [1], Becker and Doering [2], Zeldovitch [3], Frenkel [4], Kramers [5]. Some reconsiderations were made by Lothe and Pound [6]. The modern state of the nucleation theory can be characterized by the contributions of Reiss [7], Reiss, Katz and Cohen [8], Feder, Russel, Lothe and Pound [9], Hung, Katz and Krasnopoler [10], Reiss, Tabazadeh and Talbot [11] and others.

The creation of stationary classical nucleation theory allows to study kinetical problems of nucleation. Among the first publications devoted to this topic one must stress the contributions by Wakeshima [12] and Reiser [13]. The theory of the homogeneous decay of the metastable phase was created by Grinin, Kuni and Kabanov [14]. The theory of homogeneous condensation dynamical conditions was examined in [15],[16]. The theory of heterogeneous condensation was investigated in [17], [18]. Binary condensation was inves-

tigated by Reiss in [19],and later by Stauffer in [20]. The rate of binary nucleation was obtained in [19] and was corrected in [20]. The stationary theory of binary condensation with the help of Lorentz transformation was investigated in [21].

All above cited publications form the base for the theory of the decay of metastable mixture presented here. This publication can be regarded as some modification of ideas presented in [22]-[24].

Some concrete model has to be accepted in order to give us an opportunity to fulfill detailed calculations. This model includes the following positions:

System is homogeneous in space.

The regime of substance exchange between an embryo and environment is free molecular one.

Thermal effects of condensation are neglected.

All these assumptions can be overcome by modification of the theory and aren't observed here due to the lack of the volume of the publication.

Coalescence (Ostwald ripenning) isn't analysed here. An asymptotical expansions are constructed in [25],[26].

We shall choose the system of unit volume and measure all energy-like values in thermal units.

2 Embryos free energy

For free energy of near-critical embryo of liquid phase which consists of ν_i molecules of i component for all n components of solution we have

$$F(\{\nu_i\}_{i=1,n}) = a(\sum_i v_i\nu_i)^{2/3} - \sum_i b_i\nu_i \tag{1}$$

where

$$a = 4\pi\sigma(\frac{3}{4\pi})^{2/3} \tag{2}$$

v is the fraction of partial volumes of the molecules of a and b components in liquid phase, σ is surface tension expressed in units k_bT, k_b is Boltzman constant, T is absolute temperature. Let us illustrate the method by using the following expressions for b_a and b_b from the theory of liquids.

$$b_i = \ln\frac{n_i}{n_{ii}} - \ln[\mu_i f_i(\mu_i)] \qquad \mu_i = \frac{\nu_i}{\sum_j \nu_j} \tag{3}$$

Here n_a (or n_b) is the molecules number density of component a (or b) in the vapor; n_{aa} (or n_{bb}) is the molecules number density of the vapor saturated over plane surface. The values of f_i are called the coefficients of activity. When $f_i \equiv 1$ we have an ideal solution. The conditions of applicability of equation (1) are the following ones

$$\nu_i \gg 1 \tag{4}$$

Inequality (4) extracts so called cappilarity approximation in binary nucleation.

3 Droplets growth

Let us use the word "droplets" to define the super-critical embryos moving on the plane of sizes irreversibly. In order to obtain the velocity of their growth we take into account that due to free-molecular regime of exchange the flow W_a^+ of a component on the molecule is equal to

$$W_i^+(\{\nu_i\}) = \frac{(\zeta_i + 1)}{\tau_i}(\sum_j v_{l\ j}\nu_j)^{2/3} \tag{5}$$

where ζ_i is the supersaturation of the i component defined as

$$\zeta_i = \frac{n_i - n_{ii}}{n_{ii}} \tag{6}$$

and τ_i is the characteristic time between collisions of fixed molecule of component a with other molecules of component a in the vapor

$$\tau_i \sim [n_{ii} v_{t\ i}]^{-1} \tag{7}$$

$v_{t\ i}$ is the thermal velocity of the molecule of i component. The condensation coefficient is included into the effective thermal velocity.

On the base of equations

$$\frac{W_i^+}{W_i^-} = exp(-\frac{\partial F(\{\nu_i\})}{\partial \nu_i}) \tag{8}$$

we define inverse flows W_i^-. Then the velocities can be found as

$$\frac{d\nu_i}{dt} = W_i^+ - W_i^+ \tag{9}$$

After neglecting $2av_{l\ i}(\sum_j \nu_j v_{l\ j})^{-1/3}/3$ in comparison with b_i for supercritical embryous in expressions for free energy derivatives we have

$$\frac{d\nu_i}{dt} = [\zeta_i + 1 - \mu_i f_i(\mu_i)]\frac{(\sum_j \nu_j v_{l\ j})^{2/3}}{\tau_i} \tag{10}$$

4 Stationary rate of nucleation

After instantaneous creation of supersaturation the external influence interrupts. Near-critical region is extracted by the condition

$$\mid F(\{\nu_i\}) - F_c \mid \leq 1 \tag{11}$$

where index "c" here and below marks the values at saddle point. The time of relaxation in near-critical region can be above estimated. Let us transmit by linear transformation to the set of $\nu_{*\ i}$ which reduces the square form of the free energy to the the sum of squares and every $\nu_{*\ i}$ has the same scale

as ν_i has. Thanks to the fact that the set $\{\sum_j \nu_j v_{l\ j},\ \{\mu_i\}_{i=1}^{i=n-1}\}$ diagonalises the square form of free energy it is easy to do. Then we have

$$t_{rel} = \frac{(diam\nu_c)^2}{W_c^+} \tag{12}$$

where

$$diam\nu_c = [2/(\sum_j \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \nu_{*\ j}^2})]^{1/2} \tag{13}$$

$$diam\nu_c \sim perim\nu_c$$

$$perim\nu_c = \sum_j [2/(\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \nu_{*\ j}^2})]^{1/2}$$

$$W_c = min[W_{i\ c}^+] \tag{14}$$

and derivatives are taken at the saddle point of free energy. One can obtain this estimate by sequential considering of diffusion over the first and over the second variable. Long tails of the near-critical region along the diagonals orf rectangular with coordinates

$$\nu_{*\ i\ c} \pm (2/(\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \nu_{*\ i}^2}))^{1/2}$$

are omitted. After relaxation in near critical region the rate of embryos formation is equal

$$J_s(\{\zeta_a\}) = Z[\sum_j \Psi_j exp(-\Delta_j F_c)] \tag{15}$$

Here

$$\Psi_j = \frac{n_j}{\sum_i n_i} \tag{16}$$

$$\Delta_j F_c = F(\nu_{j\ c}, \nu_{b\ c}) + \ln(n_j v_{l\ j}) \tag{17}$$

Z is Zeldovitch [3] factor. It is extracted by the fact that all other terms exept Z appear from equilibrium distribution. The value of additions to free energy is directly connected with reconsideration made by Lothe and Pound [6]. It can be corrected in the manner of Reiss.

We assume that in near-critical region there exists the quasistationary state of embryos. Then the rate of nucleation is equal to stationary one defined by (15). This situation takes place if the time of characteristic violation of near-critical state Δt strongly exceeds t_{rel}. This fact will be proved on the base of detailed results for Δt in frames of (4).

For $\nu_{i\ c}$ we have the system of equations

$$b_i = \frac{2a}{3}(\sum_j v_{l\ j}\nu_{j\ c})^{-1/3} v_{l\ i} \tag{18}$$

which have unique solution in ideal solution approximation. When we use more complicated approximations then many nucleation channels can appear. In every channel the embryo must overcome only one saddle point [21]. So the generalization of the theory is easy.

We can rewrite the expression for nucleation rate in the folowing ordinary form

$$J_s(\{\zeta_a\}) = Z^+ exp(-F_c) \tag{19}$$

with renormalized Zeldovitch factor

$$Z^+ = Z[\sum_j \frac{n_j}{\sum_i n_i}(\zeta_j + 1)\frac{n_{jj}}{v_{l\ j}}] \tag{20}$$

This factor is rather smooth function of supersaturations in comparison with exponential factor in expression for nucleation rate. The sharp dependence of $exp(-F_c)$ on supersaturations can be observed from expressions for $dF_c/d\zeta_i$. For dF_c/db_a we have

$$\frac{dF(\nu_{a\ c}, \nu_{b\ c})}{db_a} = \frac{\partial F(\nu_a, \nu_b)}{\partial b_a}\ |_c + \frac{\partial F(\nu_a, \nu_b)}{\partial \nu_a}\ |_c\ \frac{\partial \nu_a}{\partial b_a} + \sum_{b \neq a} \frac{\partial F(\nu_a, \nu_b)}{\partial \nu_b}\ |_c\ \frac{\partial \nu_b}{\partial b_a} + \sum_{b \neq a} \frac{\partial F(\nu_a, \nu_b)}{\partial b_b}\ |_c\ \frac{\partial b_b}{\partial b_a} \tag{21}$$

The derivative in r.h.s. of the last equation is partial one because it doesn't depend on the variation of the supersaturation of the other component. Meanwhile the F_c dependence on ζ_i through $\nu_{i\ c}$ is taken into account. We can notice that

$$\frac{\partial F(\{\nu_a\})}{\partial \nu_a}\ |_c = 0 \tag{22}$$

$$\frac{b_{a\ c}}{v_{l\ a}} = \frac{b_{b\ c}}{v_{l\ b}} \tag{23}$$

and then

$$\frac{\partial F(\{\nu_a\})}{\partial b_a} \mid_c = -\frac{(\sum_b v_{l\ b}\nu_b)}{v_{l\ a}} \tag{24}$$

$$\frac{\partial F(\{\nu_a\})}{\partial \zeta_a} \mid_c = -\frac{\sum_b v_{l\ b}\nu_b}{v_{l\ a}(\zeta_a+1)} \tag{25}$$

In capillarity approximation inequality (4) is valid and the sharp dependence on supersaturations is obvious.

From the last equation it follows that during the period of essential formation of the embryous the relative variation of supersaturation is small. Namely,

$$\frac{\Delta_1 \zeta_i}{\zeta_i} \leq \Gamma_i^{-1} \tag{26}$$

where

$$\Gamma_i = \frac{\zeta_i}{\zeta_i+1} \mid \frac{dF_c}{db_i} \mid \tag{27}$$

5 Concentration of the solution in droplets

Now let us define the concentration of droplets at this period. In this section we shall write ζ_i instead of $\zeta_i + 1$. The dynamic equations for μ_i can be written in the following manner

$$\frac{d\mu_i}{dt} = \frac{(\sum_b \nu_b v_b)^{2/3}}{\sum_j \nu_j}[\frac{\zeta_i - \mu_i f_i(\mu_i)}{\tau_i} - \mu_i \sum_j \frac{\zeta_j - \mu_j f_j(\mu_j)}{\tau_j}] \tag{28}$$

As far as we don't express the term of the last equation through μ_i, we work now in the set of variables $\{\mu_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}, (\sum_b \nu_b v_b)^{2/3}/\sum_j \nu_j$ [22]. The stationary solutions are obtained from

$$\frac{\zeta_i - \mu_i f_i(\mu_i)}{\tau_i} = \mu_i \sum_j \frac{\zeta_j - \mu_j f_j(\mu_j)}{\tau_j} \tag{29}$$

The values of μ_i aren't independent but satisfy the obvious restriction

$$\sum_i \mu_i = 1 \tag{30}$$

So we have to use Lagrange method. Let us measure time t here in units of $(\sum_b \nu_b v_b)^{2/3}/\sum_j \nu_j$. We obtain potential U from

$$\frac{d\mu_i}{dt} = -\frac{\partial U}{\partial \mu_i} \tag{31}$$

The function U exists due to homogeneous character of restricion (30). The equation of conditional extremum for U will be the following one:

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial \mu_i} - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_i}[\sum_j \mu_j - 1] = 0 \tag{32}$$

with arbitrary α. This equation leads to

$$\alpha + \frac{\zeta_i - \mu_i f_i(\mu_i)}{\tau_i} = \mu_i \sum_j \frac{\zeta_j - \mu_j f_j(\mu_j)}{\tau_j} \tag{33}$$

The value of α must be chosen according to (30). Let us introduce

$$\delta_i = \frac{\zeta_i - \mu_i f_i(\mu_i)}{\tau_i} \tag{34}$$

and

$$\Delta = \sum_j \delta_j \tag{35}$$

From (33) we see that

$$\frac{\alpha}{\mu_i} + \frac{\zeta_i - \mu_i f_i(\mu_i)}{\mu_i \tau_i} = \Delta \tag{36}$$

is invariant for every i. In the approximation of an ideal solution equation(33) can be rewritten as

$$\alpha + \delta_i = (\zeta_i - \delta_i \tau_i)\Delta \tag{37}$$

and gives an expression for δ_i through Δ. Substitution of this expression into (35) leads to

$$\Delta = \sum_i \frac{\zeta_i \Delta - \alpha}{1 + \tau_i \Delta} \tag{38}$$

Condition (30) also must be expressed through Δ:

$$\sum_i \frac{\zeta_i + \alpha \tau_i}{1 + \tau_i \Delta} = 1 \tag{39}$$

Equations (38) and (39) coincide when $\alpha = 0$. So $\alpha = 0$ ensures extremum and the condition on Δ is reduced to

$$1 = \sum \frac{\zeta_i}{1 + \tau_i \Delta} \tag{40}$$

Due to $\zeta > 0$, $\tau_i > 0$ the uniqueness of Δ is obvious. The last equation is an ordinary algebraic equation of power n. As far as in solution ordinary

there are no more than four or five components, this equation can be easily solved analytically. This equation can be also solved by iteration procedure. For rather intensive process of condensation it is necessary to have at least one supersaturation many times geater than unity. This value(-es) can be regarded as the leading parameter in iterational procedure.

From the consideration of the limiting case one can prove that this extremum is stable one. On the base of Δ concentrations can be reconstucted with the help of (36). Only there we shall use condition $\mu_i > 0$. The relaxation characteristic time can be found from

$$t_{rel} \approx [\frac{(\sum_b \nu_b v_b)^{2/3}}{\sum_j \nu_j}[-\frac{1}{\tau_i}(f_i(\mu_i) + \mu_i \frac{df_i}{d\mu_i}) - \sum_j \frac{\zeta_j - \mu_j f_j}{\tau_j} - \mu_i \frac{f_i}{\tau_i} - \frac{\mu_i^2}{\tau_j}\frac{df_i}{d\mu_i}]]^{-1} \tag{41}$$

in approximation of independent μ_i. Here we must put all values to some characteristic values at the period of droplets formation and take this expression at the stationary stable value of μ_i. They must be taken from futher considerations or by simple estimates.

Under the conditions of cappilarity approximation applicability for critical embryo description the following ineqality

$$t_{rel} \ll \Delta_1 t \tag{42}$$

is valid where Δ_1 marks the variation of the magnitudes during the period of droplets essential formation. After obtaining the value of $\Delta_1 t$ we can prove this inequality analytically by the simple substitution.

6 Evolution equation

As the result of the previous section we can see that

$$\nu_a = \mu_a \sum_b \nu_b \tag{43}$$

and

$$\frac{d\nu_a}{dt} = \frac{d\nu_b}{dt}\frac{\mu_a}{\mu_b} \tag{44}$$

with constant value of μ_i. At constant supersaturations we can integrate the law of growth

$$\nu_a = \nu_a(t(\nu_a)) \equiv (\zeta_a + 1 - \mu_a f_a)^3 (\sum_j \frac{v_{l\ j}\mu_j}{\mu_a})^2 \frac{(t - t(\nu_a))^3}{(3\tau_a)^3} \tag{45}$$

where $t(\nu_a)$ is the moment of droplet formation and μ_i marks some stable value for arbitrary form of activity coefficients. There remains a question about correspondance between the members of the set $\mu_{c\ i}$ and the members of the set μ_i. This question can be solved on the base of detailed analysis of transition from near-critical region to supercritical region which forms

the matter of separate publication (see [22]). In the case when $f_i = 1$ this question doesn't appear.

The values

$$\rho_a = \nu_a^{1/3} \tag{46}$$

grow with constant velocity and the evolution can be expressed in these values. We have simple connection

$$\rho_a = (\frac{\mu_a}{\mu_b})^{1/3}\rho_b \tag{47}$$

Let us construct the condensation equations system. Initial values of supersaturations are marked by Φ_a. We shall use in this section the lateral "f" for distribution functions. Together with full distribution function $f(\{\rho_a\}, t)$ we shall introduce

$$f_a(\rho_a, t) = \int_0^\infty f(\{\rho_a\}, t)\Pi_{b\neq a}d\rho_b \tag{48}$$

Equations of evolution can be written for supercritical embryos in the following form

$$\frac{\partial f(\{\rho_a\}, t)}{\partial t} = -\sum_b \frac{\partial f(\{\rho_b\}, t)}{\partial \rho_b}\frac{d\rho_b}{dt} \tag{49}$$

$$\frac{\partial f_a(\rho_a, t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial f_a(\rho_a, t)}{\partial \rho_a}\frac{d\rho_a}{dt} \tag{50}$$

We introduce variables

$$z_a = \nu_a(t(\nu_a) = 0) \tag{51}$$

So we have

$$z_b = \frac{z_a \mu_b^{1/3}}{\mu_a^{1/3}} \tag{52}$$

We can write solutions of evolution equations in the following form

$$f_a(\rho_a, t) = f^+(x_a) \tag{53}$$

$$f_b(\rho_b, t) = f_a((\frac{\mu_a}{\mu_b})^{1/3}\rho_b, t)(\frac{\mu_a}{\mu_b})^{1/3} \tag{54}$$

where f^+ is some function of variable

$$x_a = z_a - \rho_a \tag{55}$$

We can present f_b as some function of variable

$$x_b = z_b - \rho_b \tag{56}$$

We shall also introduce

$$\rho = (\sum_a \rho_a^3)^{1/3} \tag{57}$$

$$z = (\sum_a z_a^3)^{1/3} \tag{58}$$

$$x = z - \rho \tag{59}$$

All functions of t are functions of x_a, x. With the help of inequality

$$\Delta_1 z_a \gg (\nu_{a\ c})^{1/3} \tag{60}$$

and inequality (42) the form of $f^+(x)$ is obtained from boundary conditions for $f_a(\rho_a, t)$ which goes to quasi-stationary distribution at small ρ_a for all a. This quasi-stationary distribution corresponds to stationary intensity of droplets formation J_s. Then we have

$$f^+(x_a) = f_{a\ s}(\{\zeta_a(x_a)\}) \tag{61}$$

where

$$f_{a\ s}(\{\zeta_a(x_a)\}) = \frac{J_s(\{\zeta_a\})}{d\rho_a/dt} \tag{62}$$

7 The system of equations of condensation

We shall close the condensation equations system by the balance equations for the substance:

$$\Phi_i = \zeta_i + g_i \tag{63}$$

where

$$g_i = \frac{1}{n_{ii}} \int_0^\infty d\rho_i \rho_i^3 f_i(\rho_i, t) \tag{64}$$

For g_i we have the following equations

$$g_a = \frac{1}{n_{aa}} \int_0^{z_a} dx_a (z_a - x_a)^3 f_{a\ s}(\zeta_a, \zeta_b) \tag{65}$$

Then from balance equations it follows

$$\frac{\Phi_a - \zeta_a}{n_{bb}\mu_a} = \frac{\Phi_b - \zeta_b}{n_{aa}\mu_b} \tag{66}$$

During the period of essential droplets formation where ζ_a is near Φ_a the following approximation

$$f_{s\ a}(\{\zeta_a\}) = f_{s\ a}(\{\Phi_a\}) exp(\sum_i \Gamma_i(\{\Phi_a\}) \frac{\zeta_i - \Phi_i}{\Phi_i}) \tag{67}$$

is valid. On the base of (66) we come to equation

$$f_{s\ a}(\{\zeta_a\}) = f_{s\ a}(\{\Phi_a\}) exp(\Gamma_i^+(\{\Phi_a\}) \frac{\zeta_a - \Phi_a}{\Phi_a}) \tag{68}$$

where

$$\Gamma_a^+(\{\Phi_i\}) = \sum_b \Gamma_b(\{\Phi_i\}) \frac{\Phi_a n_{aa} \mu_b}{\mu_a \Phi_b n_{bb}} \tag{69}$$

Now we can obtain from a component balance equation the closed equation

$$\zeta_a(z) = \Phi_a - \frac{f_{s\ a}(\{\Phi_i\})}{n_{aa}} \int_0^{z_a} (z_a - x_a)^3 exp(\Gamma_a^+ \frac{\zeta_a - \Phi_a}{\Phi_a}) dx_a \tag{70}$$

This equation is analogous to the balance equation in one component theory and can be solved by methods [14]. So we needn't to investigate it here. All parameters of the period of essential formation of droplets are obtained now.

From

$$\frac{d^2 g_i}{dt^2} \sim \frac{d^2 g_i}{dx_a^2} > 0 \tag{71}$$

and as far as

$$f_a(x) \leq f_{*\ a}$$

we have

$$(\frac{4n_{aa}\Phi_a}{f_{*\ a}\Gamma^+})^{1/4}\frac{\tau_a}{\Phi_a+1} \leq \Delta t \leq (\frac{4n_{aa}\Phi_a e}{f_{*\ a}\Gamma^+})^{1/4}\frac{\tau_a}{\Phi_a}$$

This inequality allows us to justify (42), (60) and quasistationary state of near-critical embryos.

The validity of the linearization of the free energy can be proved taking into account that among supersaturations there are those ones which are rather great in comparison with unity (it is necessary for intentive process of nucleation). So in very rought approximation we can keep only these supersaturations and obtain the expression which resembles one dimensional case.

8 Further evolution

In the description of the further evolution it isn't necessary to obtain intensity of droplets formation. So it is sufficient to know supersaturatioins with

small relative error. This fact allows us to use monodispersious approximation for droplets size spectrum. At the initial period of condensation when $\zeta_a = \Phi_a$ the velocities of ρ_a growth are independent from their values. The form of spectrum doesn't change and the spectrum moves as a whole along ρ axis. Soon it becomes monodispersious. At this period the role of spectrum in the balance of substance is negligible and we can spread the monodispersious approximation on this period also. Certainly, the intensity of droplets formation is already known. We shall consider this period in situation $f_a = 1$. All generalizations are rather obvious.

During the time the supersaturations falls. We shall investigate this evolution. Let us transform to variables μ_i and γ

$$\gamma = (\sum_b \nu_b v_{l\ b})^{1/3} \tag{72}$$

On the base of (10) we see that

$$\frac{d\nu_a}{dt} = \frac{\gamma^2}{\tau_a}(\zeta_a + 1 - \mu_a) \tag{73}$$

Considering γ as parameter we see from (73) that during the evolution the spectrum becomes more and more monodispersious. For γ we have equation

$$\frac{d\gamma}{dt} = \frac{1}{3}\sum_a v_{l\ a}\frac{\zeta_a + 1 - \mu_a}{\tau_a} \equiv \lambda \tag{74}$$

which shows that when spectrum is monodispersious in μ_i the evolution of γ is defined in stable manner. Hence, the spectrum is monodispersious in μ_i, γ (or in ν_i).

In monodispersious approximation the condensation kinetics equations have the following form

$$\frac{d\nu_a}{dt} = \frac{(\sum_b v_{l\ b}\nu_b)^{2/3}}{\tau_a}(\Phi_a + 1 - \mu_a - N\frac{\nu_a}{n_{aa}}) \tag{75}$$

where N is the total number of droplets. The initial conditions are obvious ones:

$$\nu_a(t=0) = 0 \tag{76}$$

The values of ν_a present the coordinates of monodispersious peak.

At first let us consider the case $\zeta_a \gg 1$ for all ζ_a. Then

$$\frac{d\nu_i}{dt} = \frac{\gamma^2}{\tau_i}(\Phi_i - N\frac{\nu_i}{n_{ii}}) \tag{77}$$

System (77) doesn't posess analytical solution. The next simplification is to consider γ as known function of time. The values of ν_a in the expression for γ are considered to be formed at ideal supersaturation. Then

$$\gamma = \lambda(\{\Phi_a\})t$$

and (77) can be integrated

$$\Phi_i - N\frac{\nu_i}{n_{ii}} = \Phi_i exp(-N\frac{\lambda^2 t^3}{3n_{ii}\tau_i}) \tag{78}$$

The errors can be estimated by comparison with precise solution in one dimensional case. So we have the maximum error in ρ to be equal 0.047. In one dimension case this approximation is also important because it allows to inverse t dependence on ρ.

When supersaturations are small in comparison with their initial values we can construct asymptotes with the help of methods given in [16].

The deviation of v from 1 (not the deviation of n_{aa}/n_{bb} from 1) leads to exhausting of one component. We can see that for $\zeta_a, \zeta_b \gg 1$:

$$\frac{dg_a}{dt} \approx \frac{n_{bb}\tau_b\zeta_a}{n_{aa}\tau_a\zeta_b}\frac{dg_b}{dt} \approx \frac{\zeta_a}{\zeta_b}\frac{dg_b}{dt} \tag{79}$$

The last approximative equality is valid when thermal velocities (i.e. masses of molecules) and partial volumes have one and the same power for different components. So the consumption of different components occurs practically simultaneously and there is no further essential formation of droplets.

9 References

1.Volmer, M., Z.Phys.Chem. vol.25, p.555 (1929)

2.Becker, R. and Doering, W., Ann.Phys. vol. 24, p.749 (1935)

3.Zeldovitch,J.B., J.Exp.Theor.Phys. (USSR) vol.24, p.749 (1942)

4.Frenkel J., Kinetic Theory of liquids, Oxford University Press, New York,1977

5.Kramers, H., Physica vol.7, N 4, p.284 (1940)

6.Lothe J. and Pound, G.M., J.Chem.Phys. vol.36, 2082 (1962)

7.Reiss, H., in A.C.Zettlemeyer (ed.), Nucleation Phenomena, Elvier New York, 1977

8.Reiss, H., Katz, J.L., and Cohen, E.R., J.Chem.Phys. vol.48, p.5553 (1968)

9.Feder, J., Russel, K.C., Lothe, J., amd Pound, G.M., Adv. in Physics vol.15, p.111 (1966)

10.Hung, C.H., Katz, J.L., and Krasnopoler M.J., J.Chem.Phys. vol.90, p.1856 (1989)

11.Reiss, H., Tabazadeh, A., and Talbot, J., J.Chem.Phys. vol.92, p.1266 (1990)

12.Wakeshima, H., J.Chem.Phys. vol.22, p.1614 (1954)

13.Raiser, Yu.P., Journ.Exper.Teor.Phys. (USSR) vol.37, p.1741 (1959)

14.Kuni F.M., Grinin, A.P., and Kabanov, A.S., Colloid.J. (USSR) vol.46, p.440 (1984)

15.Grinin, A.P. and Kurasov, V.B., Vestnik Leningrad Univers. (USSR), physics chemistry, Issue 2, p.100 (1984)

16.Kuni, F.M., The kinetics of the condensation under the dynamical conditions, Kiev,1984, 65p. Preprint of the Institute for Theoretical Physics IPT-84-178E

17.Kuni, F.M., Grinin, A.P., and Kurasov, V.B., in Mechanics of inhomoheneous liquids (USSR), Novosibirsk, 1985, p.86

18.Grinin, A.P., Kuni, F.M., and Kurasov, V.B., Colloid.J. (USSR) vol.52, p.430; p.437; p.444 (1990)

19.Reiss, H., J.Chem.Phys. vol.18, p.840 (1950)

20.Stauffer D., Aerosol Sci. vol.7, p.319 (1976)

21.Kuni, F.M., Kurasov, V.B., Djikaev, Yu. Sh., and Melikhov A.A., Thermodynamics and kinetics of binary nucleation, Preprint Freie Universitat of Berlin, FUB-TKM 12-90, 52p.

22.Kurasov, V.B., The kinetics of the binary condensation in the condi-

tions of instantaneous creation of supersaturation, VINITI (USSR), N 4440-B90, from 27.06.1990, 48 p.

23.Kurasov, V.B. and Kurasov P.B. The kinetic description of binary nucleation, Preprint Manne-Siegbahn Institute for Atomic Physics of Stockholm University, MSI 93-3, 90p.

24.Kurasov, V.B., Kinetics of the binary condensation in the dynamical conditions, VINITI (USSR), N 4439-B90, from 26.06.19990, 45p.

25.Lifshitz, I.M. and Slyozov, V.V., J.Exp.Theor.Phys.(USSR) vol.35, p.479 (1958)

26.Lifshitz, I.M. and Slyozov, V.V., J.Phys.Chem.Solids vol.19, p.35 (1961)